UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

KIMBERLY RUSSO,

Plaintiff,

v.

PUCKETT & REDFORD PLLC, et al,

Defendants.

Case No. C09-0433 MJP

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEF

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for leave to file an over-length brief in response to Defendants' motion to dismiss. (Dkt. No. 13.) Local Rule 7(f) permits parties to apply for approval to file over-length motions or responses. Pursuant to Local Rule 7(f)(1), the motion must be filed "at least three judicial days before the underlying motion or brief is due."

First, Plaintiff's motion is untimely. Plaintiff filed the present motion contemporaneously with the over-length response. (Dkt. Nos. 13, 16.) Second, the motion is denied because Plaintiff offers no substantive explanation as to why the additional pages are necessary for the purposes of the response.

The Court DENIES Plaintiff's motion and instructs Plaintiff to file an amended response by June 25, 2009. Defendants' reply shall be due on July 2, 2009 and the motion will be renoted for consideration on that date.

The Clerk shall transmit a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

Dated this 23rd day of June, 2009.

Marsha J. Pechman

United States District Judge

¹ The Court accepts briefing filed in twelve point font.